

CAMBRIDGE RETIREMENT SYSTEM,
Individually and On Behalf of All Others
Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,
CHINTU PATEL, CHIRAG PATEL,
BRYAN M. REASONS, PAUL M. BISARO,
ROBERT L. BURR, ROBERT A.
STEWART, KEVIN BUCHI, PETER R.
TERRERI, JANET VERGIS, GAUTAM
PATEL, TED NARK, EMILY PETERSON
ALVA, JEAN SELDEN GREENE,
DHARMENDRA J. RAMA, and AMNEAL
PHARMACEUTICALS HOLDINGS, LLC,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
SOMERSET COUNTY: LAW DIVISION

Docket No. SOM-L-1701-19

Civil Action
(CBLP Action)

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER APPROVING
PLAN OF ALLOCATION OF NET SETTLEMENT FUND**

This matter came on for hearing on August 15, 2022 (the “Settlement Hearing”) on Plaintiff’s motion to approve the proposed plan of allocation (“Plan of Allocation”) of the Net Settlement Fund created under the Settlement in the above-captioned class action (the “Action”). The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; and it appearing that Notice of the Settlement Hearing (which included a summary of the Settlement as well as the full text of the proposed Plan of Allocation) (the “Notice”) substantially in the form approved by the Court was mailed to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort, and that a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in *Investor’s Business Daily* and released over

PR Newswire pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the proposed Plan of Allocation,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. This Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated March 28, 2022 (the “Stipulation”) and all terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation, and over the subject matter of the Action and all Parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class Members.

3. Notice of Plaintiff’s motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation was given to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Settlement Class of the motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation satisfied the requirements of New Jersey Court Rule 4:32, due process, and all other applicable law and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

4. Copies of the Notice, which included the Plan of Allocation, were mailed to over 85,500 potential Settlement Class Members and nominees, and no objections to the Plan of Allocation have been received.

5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of Claimants as set forth in the Plan of Allocation mailed to Settlement Class Members provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund among Settlement Class Members with due consideration having been given to

administrative convenience and necessity.

6. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation is, in all respects, fair and reasonable to the Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation proposed by Plaintiff.

7. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Order approving the Plan of Allocation shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.

8. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

SO ORDERED this 16th day of August, 2022.

/s/ Kevin M. Shanahan, A.J.S.C.

The Honorable Kevin M. Shanahan, A.J.S.C.